REMARKS

Responsive to the subject Office Action, the claim of priority in the first paragraph of the

specification has been amended to state the relationship of the subject application and the parent

application. In addition, the current status of the parent application has been changed to reflect

its issuance as a patent. As discussed with Examiner Simone via telephone on June 29, 2004, the

Utility Patent Application Transmittal filed with the subject application and the Filing Receipt

both indicate that the subject application is a Continuation of the parent application. As a result,

it is believed that no Petition to Accept an Unintentionally Delayed Claim for Priority is needed.

Applicants thank the Examiner for his time. As indicated on the Utility Patent Application

Transmittal, the Declaration filed with the subject application is a copy of the Declaration filed

with the parent application.

A Terminal Disclaimer is also being provided with this Amendment to address the

rejection of claims 1-20 based on Double Patenting and U.S. Patent No. 6,668,708.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is believed that the application is in

condition for allowance and such action is respectively requested. If the Examiner believes that

a telephone conference would advance the prosecution of the case, it is requested that the

undersigned attorney be telephoned for that purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

PIPER RUDNICK

R. Blake Johnston, Reg. No. 41,097

Dated: 6/2

PIPER RUDNICK LLP

P.O. Box 64807

Chicago, Illinois 60664-0807

Ph: 312-368-8921

Fax:

312-630-6310

~CHGO1:30458438.v1

3